

RFP 16-02 Addendum 2

April 8, 2016

Questions and Answers:

If a proposal responding to RFP 16-02 has been mailed/submitted to the City of Dunwoody prior to the release of additional addenda, do responding firms have an obligation to acknowledge that additional addenda on the Cost Proposal or through another format? If so, what is the appropriate procedure? **All firms must acknowledge additional addenda. Responses to questions will be provided on 4/8/16. Applicants are encouraged to submit their proposal packages until the day they are due.**

2. Please confirm that the References Form should only be included with the separate Cost Proposal, as is stated on Page 19 of the RFP. **Including the reference form in another section will not count against the applicant.**
3. Please confirm that the Table of Contents, along with the Title Page, and any section dividers, do not count toward the 15-page limit of the technical proposal. **These items do not count toward the 15-page limit of the technical proposal.**
4. Section 3.8 states, "Public Involvement is not required [for] these sidewalk segments. A PIOH has previously been held." Please confirm that no additional public involvement is required for this multiuse trail project as described in the RFP. **No additional public involvement is anticipated. However, should it be necessary it is understood that this is an out of scope item and a supplemental fee would be issued.**
5. At what point in this project's timeline will feedback from the previous public involvement open house (PIOH), mentioned in subtask 3.6 be made available? **The previous PIOH feedback will be available immediately upon award.**
6. Is the work plan described in 5.12 the same item as the project plan described in 4.2 Section II? If not, what is the distinction? **These terms are the same.**
7. The survey provided does not include InRoads files or a DTM. Will that information be available upon award. **Yes.**